

Challenge Club Academic Research Project Mark scheme. 2017

	Research Skills	✓	Quality of Language	✓	Originality	✓	Presentation of Work	✓
First	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High level of research carried out • All personal opinions are backed by detailed research • Referencing is accurate and detailed 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spelling, punctuation and grammar is highly developed. • Sophisticated use of vocabulary. • Response is very well organised and effectively delivered 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ideas formed are original and show individual perceptions • A unique perspective on the subject • Thought-provoking and insightful 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work is presented in an academic manner to an incredibly high standard • Project is clear and easy to follow • Structure of the essay is logical and shows progression of thought 	
2.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research is detailed and shows analysis of a variety of view points • Occasional gaps in knowledge may be evident but does not hinder a comprehensive project • Referencing is strong 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spelling, punctuation and grammar is of a good standard. • Wide range of vocabulary • Clear, concise and easy to read style • Response is well organised and effectively delivered. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of many original ideas and individual perceptions on the topic • An interesting perspective on the subject • Opinions are insightful, may be some 'borrowed' opinions that lack justification/ reason 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work is presented in an academic manner • Project is clear and accessible • Structure of the essay is logical and shows a clear plan 	
2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research is sound and shows analysis of differing view points • Occasional gaps in knowledge which are apparent to the reader • Referencing is good with the occasional lapse 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spelling, punctuation and grammar is of a sound standard. • Range of vocabulary is evident, some errors occur in more complex sentences • Clear and concise with occasional lapses • Response is effectively organised and shows adequate communication skills. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of some original ideas • Their own perspective is developed on the subject but lacks real conviction • Some opinions are insightful, frequently some may be some 'borrowed' opinions that lack justification/ reason 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work is presented well • Project is clear but could at times lack coherence. • Structure of the essay at times lacks a clear plan but overall progresses logically 	
3rd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research is evident but may not always show a balanced view point • Gaps in knowledge may occur and at times this misinforms the reader • Referencing has been included 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spelling, punctuation and grammar is of a satisfactory standard • Range of vocabulary is basic; errors occur in more complex sentences. • Response lacks clarity due to limited organisation and communication skills 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of one/ two original ideas • Starting to develop their own perspective on the subject but lacks real evidence • Work has some originality but fails to convince the reader 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work is presented to a satisfactory standard • A clear plan to the project is not always evident but does not impede delivery of the research • Work fails to be backed up by clear diagrams/ illustrations etc 	

Fail	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited research with poor referencing or no referencing carried out. No in depth analysis of the topic No referencing has been included 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spelling is often inaccurate with no use of spell check. Grammar is often poorly used so as to hinder comprehension Vocabulary is basic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project has not been properly understood. The information displayed is irrelevant or extremely limited. There may be some unsupported, vague or generalist comment Work may be plagiarised. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor presentation of work Work is organised in an informal manner inappropriate to an academic study Lack of care is obvious 	
-------------	--	---	--	--	--

Final Grade

Assessor's Comments

Strengths of the Project	Suggestions for Improvement

Signed.....